1



The Open Nursing Journal

Content list available at: https://opennursingjournal.com



RESEARCH ARTICLE

The Relationship between Emotional Reactions and Coping Strategies of Nurses during the Outbreak of COVID-19 in Ganjavian Hospital in Dezful in 2020

Nasrin Sarabi¹, Leila Masoudiyekta^{1,*}, Fatemeh Jafari Pour², Nosratabadi Mahnaz³, Nastaran Mirsamiyazdi⁴, Saba fathi⁵ and Nasim Hamidipour¹

Abstract:

Background:

Nurses face challenges when they are in a stressful situation and therefore use strategies to adapt.

Objective:

Considering the importance of the type of strategy used by nurses to maintain their mental health and considering the differences in the results of studies on the applicability of coping strategies, the present study investigates the emotional reactions and coping strategies of nurses during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Methods

The present study is a cross-sectional (descriptive-analytical) study involving 208 nurses working in Ganjavian Hospital in Dezful in 2020. The instruments used in the questionnaire are: emotional reactions, demographic and coping style questionnaire preference for modified coping practices.

Results:

The results showed that the mean age of nurses participating in the study was 31.15 ± 7.25 years. Most emotional reactions were related to nurses' feelings of desire with an average of 3.44 ± 1.26 and the lowest related to disgust with a mean of 2.44 ± 1.26 . The highest coping actions of nurses were related to nurses' emotional coping strategy with a mean of 26.87 ± 4.5 . There was a significant relationship between problem-solving coping strategies with emotional disgust and direct anxiety.

Conclusion

Due to the high level of hope and anxiety in nurses and the adoption of coping strategies focused on emotion, hospital managers and mental health managers should focus on providing psychological support to nurses and teaching problem-oriented coping strategies. They should also encourage the nurses to deal with their high level of hope for the high anxiety caused by Covid disease.

Keywords: Nurse, Emotional reaction, Coping strategies, Anxiety, COVID-19, Patients.

Article History Received: May 12, 2022 Revised: October 31, 2022 Accepted: November 18, 2022

1. INTRODUCTION

As providers of direct care for patients, nurses encounter numerous risks and stressful occasions in their professional

activities. One of them is exposure to infectious diseases that can cause severe damage and stress and disrupt health care [1]. Previous studies have shown that when nurses contact patients with emerging infectious diseases, such as SARS, MERS [2, 3], Ebola [4], and H1N1 flu [5], they suffer from various physical and mental problems, including loneliness, anxiety, fear, fatigue, and sleep disorders [6]. Studies have shown that

Department of Nursing, School of Nursing and Midwifery, Dezful University of Medical Sciences, Dezful, Iran

²Instructor of Critical Care Nursing, Department of Nursing, Behbahan Faculty of Medical Sciences, Behbahan, Iran

³Department of Midwifery, School of Nursing and Midwifery, Dezful University of Medical Sciences, Dezful, Iran

⁴Student Research Committee, Dezful University of Medical Sciences, Dezful, Iran

[§]Surgical Technologist, Clinical Research Development Unit, Ganjaviyan Hospital, Dezful University of Medical Sciences, Dezful, Iran

^{*} Address correspondence to this author at the Department of Nursing, School of Nursing and Midwifery, Dezful University of Medical Sciences, Dezful, Iran; Postal code: 6461653476; Tel: +98-06142423306; Fax: +98-06142423306; E-mails: masoudiyekta@yahoo.com, masoudiyekta@yahoo.com

the rates of insomnia, depression, and post-traumatic stress disorder in nurses involved with SARS patients were 38.5%, 37%, and 33%, respectively [6].

One of the reasons for nurses' concerns in the current situation is that the person-to-person transmission of the coronavirus is like the transmission of influenza and other respiratory pathogens. Hence, when a person coughs or sneezes, respiratory droplets form, and these droplets are inhaled by the individuals around [7]. The second reason is that the disease has a latent period. One study has found that this period could be 1-14 days long with an average of 5.2 days. Moreover, the aforementioned study showed that 97.5% of people who develop symptoms become infected within 10.5 days [8]. In addition, it has also been found that the mortality rate of the disease is high and no specific treatment for coronavirus has yet been approved by the US Food and Drug Administration [9].

Nurses use different strategies to manage the emotions, stress, and psychological crisis caused by the unfamiliar COVID-19 pandemic. Due to the high prevalence of death due to COVID-19 among hospital staff, they faced a lot of emotional as well as physical stress. Due to the nature of their job, nurses were in close contact with infectious patients for a long time. In addition, many hospital staff members resigned during the outbreak of the disease, or the number of volunteers was reduced. Therefore, the workload had to be handled by existing nurses which led to an increase in their emotional problems during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Nurses use a variety of strategies to manage the excitement, stress, and psychological crisis caused by COVID-19 pandemic. Due to the high prevalence of mortality caused by COVID-19 among hospital staff, specialist physicians faced a lot of psychological as well as physical stress. Due to the nature of their job, nurses must be in close contact with infectious patients for a long time. In addition, many hospital staff members resigned during the outbreak of the disease, or the number of volunteers was reduced. Therefore, the workload had to be handled by existing nurses, which led to an increase in their emotional problems during the COVID-19 pandemic. COVID-19 affects not only the emotions, but also the coping strategies of the public.

Nurses use different problem-solving styles to manage the current situation. The emotional reactions and problem-solving styles of nurses can affect their behavior toward patients with acute respiratory infections. Healthcare providers are vital resources for any country, not only because they are very important for the health, safety, and continuous care of the patients, but also because of their significant role in controlling any outbreak of the disease [10]. Therefore, it is necessary to study the coping behaviors of nurses in the current situation.

To face a problem requires an attempt to overcome what has happened, reorient the attitudes of people toward the concept of the problem, guide individual lives, and maintain a stable physical, mental, and social status [11]. Coping strategies refer to rational and conscious ways of coping with life stresses [12]. The type of strategy adopted by each person to deal with stress is considered part of the emotional health

and vulnerability of that person. Usage of inappropriate strategies to deal with stressors can increase problems [1], while using an appropriate coping strategy that plays a pivotal role in emotional intelligence of people can have beneficial consequences [13].

COVID-19 not only affected public emotions, but also their coping strategies. The emotional reactions and problem-solving styles of nurses can affect their behavior toward patients with acute respiratory infections. Healthcare providers are vital resources for any country, not only because they are very important for the health, safety, and continuous care of the patients, but also because of their significant role in controlling any outbreak of the disease [10]. Therefore, it is necessary to study the coping behaviors of nurses in the current situation.

An attempt to overcome a problem is required to comprehend what has happened, reorient the attitudes of people toward the concept of the problem, guide individual lives, and maintain a stable physical, mental, and social status [11]. Coping strategies refer to rational and conscious ways of coping with life stresses [12]. The type of strategy adopted by each person to deal with stress is considered part of the emotional health and vulnerability of that person. Usage of inappropriate strategies to deal with stressors can increase problems [1], while using an appropriate coping strategy that plays a pivotal role in emotional intelligence of people can have beneficial consequences [13].

There are different coping strategies, such as problemsolving, seeking social support, distraction, denial, and positive thinking. Despite the above-mentioned general conclusion, reducing psychological complications in different studies has been different [14]. One study has shown the effect of problem-solving on reducing grief [15], while other studies have shown that these strategies are not associated with increased anxiety levels [16, 17].

Since nurses are a professional group in the healthcare system, they are responsible for more than 80% of care in developed countries and are in a unique position and can impact public health [18]. When faced with stressful situations caused by different geographical and cultural conditions, they use different strategies to adapt [13].

There are differences in the results of studies on the applicability of coping strategies. Moreover, the type of strategy adopted by nurses to maintain their mental health is of great importance. Besides, COVID-19 has a high prevalence and mortality rate in Iran. Therefore, the present study aimed to examine the emotional reactions and coping strategies of nurses during COVID-19 pandemic. Emotional reactions and coping strategies can help nursing managers to provide the necessary information to support this vulnerable group effectively.

2. METHODS

2.1. Study Setting

The study population included all nurses of Ganjavian Hospital in Dezful.

2.2. Study Design

This cross-sectional study (descriptive-analytical) was conducted in 2021.

2.3. Study Population and Sampling

With the permission of the Vice-Chancellor for Education and Research and after determining the sample size, the researcher selected the participants using a stratified sampling method and prepared the people's names list in each hospital ward, using random number tables. After explaining the objectives of the study to the eligible participants and obtaining their informed consent, and ensuring the confidentiality of all their information, they entered the study. Due to the drop in the sample size, 208 people out of 215 participants completed the questionnaires during a 3-month period; inclusion criteria were the nurses working and present in the hospital. Also, a nurse absent in the hospital due to an extended leave of more than a month, those who did not complete the questionnaires and nurses with a history of depression and anxiety were excluded from the study. In each section, questionnaires were distributed by three (trained people) hospital nurses and collected after completion.

2.4. Sample Size Estimation

With a statistical population of 215 people, the sample size was calculated using Cochran's sample size formula and considering P < 0. 05 and 95% confidence level.

2.5. Data Collection

In our study, the self-report method was used for data collection. Data collection tools consisted of three questionnaires.

The first questionnaire was a demographic information questionnaire that contained questions about age, gender, marital status, and level of education. The second questionnaire was Modified Coping Preference Questionnaires (Carver, 1997) [19,20]. This questionnaire consisted of 27 items related to coping with stress, problem-solving (problem-focused) and emotion-focused (excitement]. There were five scales for answering the questions: The answer never; score 1; rarely score; 2, occasionally score, 3, often score; 4, consistently score; and 5. The scoring in this questionnaire was obtained from 5 separate scores. The Cronbach's alpha coefficient of problem-focused and emotion-focused coping categories are 0.817 and 0.811, respectively.

The third questionnaire was a Referring to the positive and negative emotion (PANAS) scale, we designed a negative emotional response scale to measure the public emotional response to COVID-19, including eight questions: emotional; anger; hatred; fear; anxiety; sadness; desire; and peace, using a 5-point scale, ranging from 1 (no such emotion) to 5 (the most intense feeling of the emotion). Participants completed these quantitative projects and answered an open-ended question about their emotional response to the outbreak of COVID-19. Subsequently, The Cronbach's alpha coefficients of scale were 0.80 in this study [21].

2.6. Statistical Analysis

IBM SPSS 21 software was used for data statistics. Independent sample t analysis, correlation analysis and regression analysis were mainly used. P <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. RESULTS

Due to the drop in sample size, 208 questionnaires were completed, and statistical results were calculated based on it. According to Table 1, the mean age of nurses participating in the study was 7.25 ± 31.15 . Of all nurses, 173 (83. 2%) were female, 35 (16.8%) were male, 72 (34.6%) were single, 135 (64.9%) were married, and 1 (0.5%) were divorced. Two hundred and six nurses (99%) had a higher education than a diploma. 203 (97.6%) of the participants had a nursing job, and five (2.4%) had an emergency medical job with 46 (22.1%) formal employment, 62 (29.8%) contract employment. Sixtythree people (30.3%) were on a temporary plan, and 37 (17.8%) were contractors. One hundred and ninety-five people (93.8%) were in a rotational shift, and 13 (6.3%) were in the fixed shift. One hundred and nineteen people (57.2%) had 1 to 5 years of work experience, 35 (16.8%) had 6 to 10 years of work experience, 35 people (16.8%) had 11 to 15 years of work experience, seven (3. 4%) had 16 to 20 years of work experience, and 12 people (5.8%) had more than 20 years of work experience.

According to Table 2, the highest emotional response of nurses was related to being emotional (3.29±0.95), and the most coping actions of nurses are related to the strategy of coping with nurses' emotions based on the average (26.87±4.5).

According to Table 3, there was no significant relationship between age and nurses' emotional reactions (P-value <0.05). The level of anxiety of female nurses (3.07±1.22) was higher than male nurses (2.60±1.42), which was a significant difference (P-value= 0.032).

The level of sadness of female nurses (2.77 ± 1.21) was more than male nurses (2.29 ± 1.20) , which was a significant difference (*P*-value= 0.031). Other nurses' emotional responses were not significantly different (*P*-value<0.05).

The rate of hatred in married nurses (2.63 ± 1.30) was higher than single nurses (2.10 ± 1.11) , which was a significant difference (*P*-value = 0.004). The rate of fear in single nurses was higher than in married nurses (2.99 ± 1.40) , which was significantly different (*P*-value= 0.043). Other emotional responses of nurses were not significantly different (*P*-value<0.05).

The rate of hatred among nurses whose type of employment was contractual (2.82±1.36) was higher than other types of employment, which was a significant difference (*P*-value= 0.012). Other emotional responses were not significantly different between nurses (*P*-value<0.05).

The amount of desire and aspiration in circulating shift nurses (3.49 ± 1.23) was more than fixed morning shift nurses (2.69 ± 1.03) . Other emotional responses were not significantly different between nurses (*P*-value<0.05).

There was no significant difference in the level of

emotional responses between nurses with different work histories (*P*-value<0.05). Anxiety was higher among nurses who had a patient with coronavirus at their workplace

 (3.06 ± 1.26) than nurses who did not have a patient with coronavirus (2.58 \pm 1.23), which was a significant difference (*P*-value= 0.046).

Table 1. Descriptive findings of demographic variables.

Variables	
Age	
Gender	
Female	
Male	
Marital status	
Single	
Married	
Divorced	
Education	
Diploma	
Above the diploma	
Job	
Nurse	
Medical emergency	
Working hours	
In circulation	
Fixed	
Work experience	
1-5	
6-10	
11-15	
16-20	
Above 20	
Are Covid 19 patients admitted to your hospital?	
Yes	
No	

Note: Data are presented as $M \pm SD$ and N (%).

According to Table 2, the highest emotional response of nurses is related to being emotional, and the most coping actions of nurses are related to the strategy of coping with nurses' emotions based on the average.

Table 2. distribution of the mean factors of the emotional reactions and coping strategies questionnaire.

Maximum	Minimum	Std. Deviation	Mean	Variables
5.00	1.00	.95	3.29	Emotions
5.00	1.00	1.17	2.49	Anger
5.00	1.00	1.26	2.44	Disgust
5.00	1.00	1.34	2.72	Fear
5.00	1.00	1.26	2.99	Anxiety
5.00	1.00	1.22	2.69	Sadness
5.00	1.00	1.23	3.44	Desire
5.00	1.00	1.23	3.14	Relaxation
17.00	6.00	2.53	12.23	Problem-focused coping
42.00	13.00	4.50	26.87	Emotion-focused coping

Note: Data are presented as $M \pm SD$ and Std. Deviation

Table 3. Relationship between demographic factors and nurses' emotional reactions.

Emotions	Anger	Disgust	Fear	Anxiety	Sadness	Desire	Relaxation	Reactions
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Age

(Table 3) conta	<i>l</i>							
06	.024	.089	113	070	047	.062	.048	-
.329	.734	.20	.104	.313	.504	.373	.488	P-value
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Gender
3.27±.99	2.50±1.14	2.49±1.27	2.75±1.35	3.07±1.22	2.77±1.21	3.43±1.26	3.10±1.25	Female
3.40±.73	2.43±1.33	2.20±1.18	2.57±1.31	2.60±1.42	2.29±1.20	3.51±1.07	3.3±1.13	Male
.41	.587	.214	.460	.032	.031	.846	.362	P-value
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Marital status
3.19±.94	2.39±1.16	2.10±1.11	2.99±1.40	3.15±1.40	2.72±1.22	3.35±1.18	2.96±1.19	Single
3.34±.95	2.55±1.18	2.63±1.30	2.58±1.29	2.91±1.18	2.68±1.22	3.50±1.26	3.24±1.24	Married
.422	.362	.004	.043	.186	.764	.305	.117	P-value
-	-	-	-	1	1	1	-	Working hours
3.32±.93	2.47±1.18	2.43±1.25	2.69±1.34	2.99±1.29	2.68±1.23	3.49±1.23	3.17±1.23	In circulation
2.85±1.14	2.69±1.11	2.61±1.33	3.15±1.34	3.00±.82	2.85±.99	2.69±1.03	2.61±1.19	Fixed
.60	.543	.636	.226	.926	.601	.017	.103	P-value
-	-	-	-	1	ı	ı	-	Work experience
3.31±.96	2.49±1.14	2.39±1.28	2.87±1.39	3.07±1.31	2.82±1.24	3.40±1.22	3.08±1.24	1-5
3.29±.93	2.31±1.32	2.43±1.29	2.43±1.22	2.77±1.17	2.49±1.12	3.46±1.27	3.43±1.12	6-10
3.26±1.04	2.51±1.22	2.77±1.26	2.48±1.17	3.00±1.19	2.40±1.22	3.37±1.33	3.00±1.31	11-15
3.29±.49	2.71±1.11	2.14±1.07	2.43±1.40	2.71±.95	2.00±1.00	3.57±.79	3.43±1.13	16-20
3.17±.94	2.67±1.07	2.17±.94	2.92±1.51	3.00±1.48	3.25±1.14	3.92±1.16	3.08±1.24	>20
.981	.742	.450	.375	.768	.051	.722	.565	P-value
-	-	-	-	-	ı	ı	-	Disease COVID-19
3.24±.95	2.45±1.18	2.46±1.28	2.79±1.34	3.06±1.26	2.79±1.20	3.40±1.25	3.07±1.20	Yes
3.55±.93	2.68±1.17	2.35±1.14	2.32±1.30	2.58±1.23	2.13±1.17	3.68±1.08	3.52±1.36	No
.162	.367	.844	.072	.046	.004	.299	.064	P-value

Note: Significance: P < 0.05

Other emotional responses were not significantly different between nurses (P-value<0.05).

The level of sadness among nurses who had a patient infected with coronavirus in their workplace hospital (2.79±1.20) was higher than nurses who did not have a patient infected with coronavirus in their workplace hospital (2.13 ± 1.17) , which is significantly different (*P*-value= 0.004). Other emotional responses were not significantly different between nurses (P-value<0.05).

According to Table 4, there was no significant relationship between demographic variables and problem-focused coping strategies (P-value<0.05). Single nurses felt (27.79±4.99) more focused on the coping strategies of married nurses (26.41±4.16), and the difference was significant (P-value= 0.016). In nurses with 11 to 15 years of work experience, the level of coping strategy focused on feelings more (27.71±4.77), which was statistically significant (P-value<0.05). Other demographic variables had no significant effect on emotionfocused coping strategy(P-value < 0.05).

According to Table 5, among the emotional reactions, the relationship between coping strategy focused on problemsolving with emotionality (P-value= 0.006). And hatred (Pvalue= 0.017), a direct and significant relationship and between coping strategy focused on emotion with anxiety, a direct and significant relationship was found (*P*-value= 0.004).

4. DISCUSSION

This study aimed to investigate the relationship between nurses' emotional reactions and coping strategies during the outbreak of COVID-19 in Ganjavian Hospital in Dezful in 2020. COVID-19 is a source of stress that the infection and harmfulness of the virus, the increase in the number of deaths, the ignorance of the virus and the negative emotions spread under this unfortunate situation, and its spread threatened the mental health of the people.

Table 4. Relationship between demographic factors and coping strategies.

Problem-focused Coping Emotion-focused Coping		Reactions
-	-	Age
.019	045	The correlation coefficient
.782	.523	P-value
-	-	Gender
12.18±2.46	27.02±4.49	Female
12.51±2.86	26.14±4.51	Male
.426	.235	P-value

(ТаЫ	o 4) i	ontd	

(1 able 4) conta		
-	-	Marital status
12.28±2.67	27.79±4.99	Single
12.24±2.46	26.41±4.16	Married
.722	.016	P-value
-	-	Working hours
12.31±2.55	26.93±4.55	Fixed
11.15±1.91	26.00±3.74	Fixed
.066	.363	P-value
-	-	Work experience
12.34±2.47	27.27±4.51	1-5
11.51±2.73	25.11±4.13	6-10
12.69±2.56	27.71±4.77	11-15
11.00±2.24	25.57±4.65	16-20
12.67±2.31	26.42±3.58	>20
.159	.039	P-value
-	-	Are Covid 19 patients admitted to your hospital?
12.10±2.55	26.85±4.49	Yes
13.03±2.29	27.03±4.61	No
.056	.722	P-value

Note: Significance: P < 0.05

Table 5. Correlations between emotional reactions and coping strategies.

Problem-focused Coping	Emotion-focused Coping	Emotions Reactions
-	-	Anger
064	071	The correlation coefficient
.361	.310	P-value
-	-	Disgust
165	064*	The correlation coefficient
.017*	.358	P-value
-	-	Fear
.061	.135	The correlation coefficient
.381	.051	P-value
-	-	Anxiety
.053	.201	The correlation coefficient
.450	.004	P-value
-	-	Sadness
063	.034	The correlation coefficient
.365	.626	P-value
-	-	Desire
.075	.112	The correlation coefficient
282	.108	P-value
-	-	Relaxation
.112	.007	The correlation coefficient
.108	.915	P-value

Note: The correlation coefficient ,Significance: P < 0.05.

Due to these conditions, many people became emotionally distressed and felt helpless, afraid, anxious, depressed, guilty, and nervous, especially those at the center of the epidemic. Nurses play an essential role in managing and controlling epidemics both in the community and in the hospital setting [18, 19, 21].

The results of the present study showed that the most coping actions of nurses were related to emotion-based coping strategy, and the most emotional response of nurses was related to being emotional. Bella Savitsky *et al.* [22] found that the highest response was moderate anxiety at 42.8%. Gender, PPE deficiency and fear of infection were significantly associated with higher anxiety scores. More robust humor and flexibility were associated with significantly lower anxiety levels, while the loss of mentality was associated with higher anxiety levels. Also, Haneen Ali *et al.* [23] showed the level of feelings and job burnout and anxiety was high, and other reactions such as stress, sadness and despair were lower, which is in line with the

present study. Tobias *et al.* [24] showed that nursing, as the largest group of the health workforce in coping with epidemics, using emotion-oriented strategies such as empathetic listening, is a powerful strategy in caring for and strengthening nursing professionals.

The results of the present study showed that among the emotional reactions, the relationship between coping strategies focused on problem-solving with emotionality and hatred has a direct and significant relationship, and the relationship between coping strategies focused on emotion has a direct and significant relationship with anxiety. Lorente et al. [25] showed that all stressors have a significant, direct and adverse relationship with nurses' psychological distress. Emotionfocused strategies are directly and indirectly negatively related to nurses' psychological distress through resilience. Problemoriented strategies are positive and negative, and indirectly related to nurses' psychological distress through emotionfocused strategies which is inconsistent with the present study. Huang et al. [19] showed that the stronger the emotional responses in nurses, the more they tend to use problem-focused strategies.

The results of our study showed that female nurses are more anxious than male nurses, and women feel sadder than men. Moreover, in the wards where Covd-19 patients were present, the nurses' anxiety in these wards was reported higher, which is in line with the results of our study. Studies by Long Huang *et al.* [19] and Pappa Despoina [18], and the National Health Commission [24 - 26] noted that having direct exposure to COVID-19 patients in intensive care units or long-term stay in wards due to continued treatment, nurses experience severe anxiety, depression, and distress.

The results of our study also showed that married nurses experienced more feelings of hatred and single nurses experienced more feelings of fear, which is in line with the present study. Long Huang *et al.* [19] and Khalid *et al.* [2] stated that special conditions such as pandemics threaten to affect both physical and mental health conditions of the individuals. Persistent worries and fears can be created among people, and everyone can understand this fact differently. Long Huang *et al.* [19] showed that fear can be the worst enemy for anyone, and as Zhong Nanshan [27] stated that psychological fear can be more frightening than the disease itself.

5. LIMITATION

In this study, one of the limitations was the lack of cooperation in answering the questions of the questionnaire due to work. Therefore, participants were eliminated by measures such as allocating enough time to participants, answering their questions, and referring to work cycles. Because this study was conducted in a cross-sectional design, it may be that the results could not be generalized to other provinces and ethnicities.

CONCLUSION

Due to the high level of anxiety and fear and the use of emotion-focused strategies in nurses, the Ministry of Health and nursing managers must prevent and reduce anxiety and provide adequate support for staff and training to deal with it. Due to nurses' use of various coping strategies in stressful situations, training in effective coping techniques is essential. Also, considering the importance of job anxiety and stress and coping strategies on mental health and the quality of nursing care services, further research with a quantitative and qualitative approach will clarify the nature of emotional reactions and how to use coping strategies.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

Leila Masoudiyekta, Nasrin Sarabi, Mahnaz Nosratabadi, and Fatemeh Jafari Pour were responsible for the study design. Nastaran Mirsamiyazdi, Saba Fathi, and Nasim Hamidipour performed the data collection. Leila Masoudiyekta did the data analysis. Nastaran Mirsamiyazdi, Leila Masoudiyekta, Fatemeh Jafari Pour, Nasrin Sarabi, Mahnaz Nosratabadi, Nastaran Mirsamiyazdi, Saba Fathi, and Nasim Hamidipour were responsible for manuscript writing.

ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

The current research was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of Dezful University of Medical Science (IR. DUMS. REC. 1399. 019).

HUMAN AND ANIMAL RIGHTS

No animals were used in this research. All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of institutional and/or research committee and with the 1975 Declaration of Helsinki, as revised in 2013.

CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

Informed consent was obtained from all participants.

STANDARDS OF REPORTING

STROBE guidelines were followed.

AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIALS

The data and supportive information are available within the article.

FUNDING

This study was funded by grants from Dezful University of Medical Science.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest financial or otherwise.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This article is based on research approved by Dezful University of Medical Sciences. We would like to thank the Vice Chancellor for Research and Technology of the University and all the nurses who participated in this study.

REFERENCES

 Moradi A, Pishva N, Ehsan HB, Hadadi P, pouladi F. The Relationship Between coping strategies and emotional intelligence. Procedia Soc

- Behav Sci 2011; 30: 748-51. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2011.10.146]
- [2] Khalid I, Khalid TJ, Qabajah MR, Barnard AG, Qushmaq IA. Healthcare workers emotions, perceived stressors and coping strategies during a MERS-CoV outbreak. Clin Med Res 2016; 14(1): 7-14. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3121/cmr.2016.1303] [PMID: 26847480]
- [3] Kim Y. Nurses' experiences of care for patients with Middle East respiratory syndrome-coronavirus in South Korea. Am J Infect Control 2018; 46(7): 781-7. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2018.01.012] [PMID: 29502886]
- [4] Liu C, Wang H, Zhou L, et al. Sources and symptoms of stress among nurses in the first Chinese anti-Ebola medical team during the Sierra Leone aid mission: A qualitative study. Int J Nurs Sci 2019; 6(2): 187-91. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnss.2019.03.007] [PMID: 31406890]
- [5] Honey M, Wang WYQ. New Zealand nurses perceptions of caring for patients with influenza A (H1N1). Nurs Crit Care 2013; 18(2): 63-9. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1478-5153.2012.00520.x] [PMID: 23419181]
- [6] Su T, Lien T, Yang C, et al. Prevalence of psychiatric morbidity and psychological adaptation of the nurses in a structured SARS caring unit during outbreak: A prospective and periodic assessment study in Taiwan. J Psychiatr Res 2007; 41(1-2): 119-30. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2005.12.006] [PMID: 16460760]
- [7] Holshue ML, DeBolt C, Lindquist S, et al. First case of 2019 novel coronavirus in the United States. N Engl J Med 2020; 382(10): 929-36. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2001191] [PMID: 32004427]
- [8] Lauer SA, Grantz KH, Bi Q, et al. The incubation period of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) from publicly reported confirmed cases: Estimation and application. Ann Intern Med 2020; 172(9): 577-82. [http://dx.doi.org/10.7326/M20-0504] [PMID: 32150748]
- [9] Chua MSQ, Lee JCS, Sulaiman S, Tan HK. From the frontline of COVID□19 – how prepared are we as obstetricians? A commentary. BJOG 2020; 127(7): 786-8. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1471-0528.16192] [PMID: 32131142]
- [10] Chang D, Xu H, Rebaza A, Sharma L, Dela Cruz CS. Protecting health-care workers from subclinical coronavirus infection. Lancet Respir Med 2020; 8(3): e13. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600(20)30066-7] [PMID: 32061333]
- [11] Ribeiro RM, Pompeo DA, Pinto MH, Ribeiro RCHM, Ribeiro R, Pompeo D. Coping strategies of nurses in hospital emergency care services. Acta Paul Enferm 2015; 28(3): 216-23. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/1982-0194201500037]
- [12] Basaknezhad S, Esfahani AM, Mahmoudi GNN. The relationship of emotional intelligence and coping styles with mental health among female students of andimeshk islamic azad university. Journal of Woman and Culture 2012; 11(3): 75-88.
- [13] Rostami M, Movaghari M, Taghavi T, Mehran A. The relationship between emotional intelligence and coping styles of nurses in hospitals in Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences. IJNR 2016; 11(1): 51-61.
- [14] Baumstarck K, Alessandrini M, Hamidou Z, Auquier P, Leroy T,

- Boyer L. Assessment of coping: a new french four-factor structure of the brief COPE inventory. Health Qual Life Outcomes 2017; 15(1): 8. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12955-016-0581-9] [PMID: 28077139]
- [15] Yeung DYL, Fung HH. Age differences in coping and emotional responses toward SARS: A longitudinal study of Hong Kong Chinese. Aging Ment Health 2007; 11(5): 579-87. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/13607860601086355] [PMID: 17882596]
- [16] Cheng C, Cheung MWL. Psychological responses to outbreak of severe acute respiratory syndrome: A prospective, multiple time-point study. J Pers 2005; 73(1): 261-85. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6494.2004.00310.x] [PMID: 15660679]
- 17] Taha S, Matheson K, Cronin T, Anisman H. Intolerance of uncertainty, appraisals, coping, and anxiety: The case of the 2009 H1N1 pandemic. Br J Health Psychol 2014; 19(3): 592-605. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/bjhp.12058] [PMID: 23834735]
- [18] Despoina P, Chrysoula D. Investigation of nurses' mental status during COVID-19 outbreak—a systematic review. Int J Nurs 2020; 7(1): 69-77. [http://dx.doi.org/10.15640/ijn.v7n1a8]
- [19] Huang L, Lei W, Xu F, Liu H, Yu L. Emotional responses and coping strategies in nurses and nursing students during COVID-19 outbreak: A comparative study. PLoS One 2020; 15(8): e0237303. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0237303] [PMID: 32764825]
- [20] Carver CS. You want to measure coping but your protocol' too long: Consider the brief cope. Int J Behav Med 1997; 4(1): 92-100. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1207/s15327558ijbm0401_6] [PMID: 16250744]
- [21] Ochsner K, Gross J. The cognitive control of emotion. Trends Cogn Sci 2005; 9(5): 242-9. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2005.03.010] [PMID: 15866151]
- [22] Savitsky B, Findling Y, Ereli A, Hendel T. Anxiety and coping strategies among nursing students during the COVID-19 pandemic. Nurse Educ Pract 2020; 46: 102809. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nepr.2020.102809] [PMID: 32679465]
- [23] Ali H, Cole A, Ahmed A, Hamasha S, Panos G. Major stressors and coping strategies of frontline nursing staff during the outbreak of coronavirus disease 2020 (COVID-19) in Alabama. J Multidiscip Healthc 2020; 13: 2057-68. [http://dx.doi.org/10.2147/JMDH.S285933] [PMID: 33408479]
- [24] Tobase L, Cardoso SH, Rodrigues RTF, Peres HHC. Empathic listening: welcoming strategy for nursing Professional in coping with with the coronavirus pandemic. Rev Bras Enferm 2021; 74: e20200721.
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/0034-7167-2020-0721] [PMID: 33566956]
 [25] Lorente L, Vera M, Peiró T. Nurses' stressors and psychological distress during the COVID□19 pandemic: The mediating role of coping and resilience. J Adv Nurs 2021; 77(3): 1335-44.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jan.14695] [PMID: 33210768]
- [26] National Health Commission. Transcript of press conference on February 29, 2020. National Health Commission Transcript of press conference on February 29 2020. 2020. Available from: http://wwwnhcgovcn/xcs/fkdt/202002/f6557445863a447f87014e5142 63dd91s.html
- [27] Liu Y. Zhong Nanshan: The Beijing epidemic is controllable. WenWei Po 2003; A05-27.

© 2023 Sarabi et al.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Public License (CC-BY 4.0), a copy of which is available at: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode. This license permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.